
Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1. DISCLOSING EXPERT WITNESSES UNDER THE FEDERAL RULES: AN OVERVIEW

I. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

- § 1:1 Practice tip—Checklist of issues to consider when disclosing experts under Rule 26(a)
- § 1:2 Overview
- § 1:3 Types of disclosure required
- § 1:4 Disclosures under state law

II. INITIAL DISCLOSURES

- § 1:5 Who must make disclosure
- § 1:6 Exception for evidence used solely for impeachment
- § 1:7 Content required
 - § 1:8 —Availability of information
- § 1:9 Form of disclosure
- § 1:10 Timing of disclosure
- § 1:11 To whom disclosures are made

III. EXPERT DISCLOSURES

- § 1:12 Interplay between Rule 26(a)(2) and Federal Rules of Evidence
- § 1:13 —Disclosure of lay witnesses providing opinion testimony
- § 1:14 Written report
 - § 1:15 —Unretained experts
 - § 1:16 —Retained or specially employed experts
 - § 1:17 —Employee experts
 - § 1:18 —The “hybrid” witness
 - § 1:19 —Content of report
 - § 1:20 —Complete statement of all opinions
 - § 1:21 —Data or other information considered by the witness
 - § 1:22 —Summary or supporting exhibits
 - § 1:23 —Qualifications of the witness
 - § 1:24 —Compensation to be paid
 - § 1:25 —List of cases in which expert has testified
 - § 1:26 —Form of report
 - § 1:27 —Attorney’s role in preparing report
 - § 1:28 To whom disclosures are made
 - § 1:29 Timing of disclosure
 - § 1:30 Objections to disclosure
 - § 1:31 Rebuttal experts

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 1:32 —Rebuttal expert distinguished from initial expert
- § 1:33 —Attorney’s role in preparing rebuttal report
- § 1:34 —Disclosing testimony that responds to rebuttal report

IV. PRETRIAL DISCLOSURES

- § 1:35 Who must make disclosure
- § 1:36 Content of disclosure
- § 1:37 Form of disclosure
- § 1:38 Service and filing of disclosure
- § 1:39 Timing of disclosure
- § 1:40 Objections to pretrial disclosure
- § 1:41 —Timing of objections
- § 1:42 —Failure to object

IV. SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES

- § 1:43 Duty to supplement or correct
- § 1:44 Supplemental disclosure distinguished from original disclosure
- § 1:45 Correcting defects in original disclosure
- § 1:46 Timing of disclosure

V. SANCTIONS

- § 1:47 Overview
- § 1:48 Rule 37(c)(1) sanctions
- § 1:49 —“Self-executing” feature
- § 1:50 —Motion required for sanctions other than preclusion
- § 1:51 —Failure substantially justified
- § 1:52 —Failure harmless
- § 1:53 —Burden of proving exception applies
- § 1:54 Motions to compel
- § 1:55 —Award of attorney’s fees
- § 1:56 —Sanctions for failing to comply with order
- § 1:57 Appropriateness of sanction
- § 1:58 Strategic considerations in seeking to remedy inadequate disclosures

CHAPTER 2. TRADEMARK EXPERTS

I. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

- § 2:1 Practice tip—Top five trademark expert witness pitfalls
- § 2:2 Glossary of terms

II. WHY USE AN EXPERT IN TRADEMARK CASES

- § 2:3 Overview

EXPERT WITNESSES: INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CASES

- § 2:4 Protectability of trademark, generally
- § 2:5 —Spectrum of trademark distinctiveness
- § 2:6 —Whether a term is generic
- § 2:7 —Whether a mark is descriptive
- § 2:8 —Whether a mark is suggestive
- § 2:9 —Whether a mark is arbitrary
- § 2:10 —Whether a mark is fanciful
- § 2:11 —Whether a descriptive mark has acquired secondary meaning
- § 2:12 Why experts are excluded
- § 2:13 Trademark infringement
- § 2:14 Unfair competition
- § 2:15 Trade dress infringement
- § 2:16 Trademark dilution
- § 2:17 Counterfeiting
- § 2:18 Survey evidence
- § 2:19 —Courts’ “gatekeeper” function
- § 2:20 —Methodology of survey
- § 2:21 — —Universe of participants
- § 2:22 — —Replication of market conditions
- § 2:23 — —Use of suggestive questions
- § 2:24 —Common challenges to survey evidence
- § 2:25 —Admissibility and weight
- § 2:26 —Attorney’s role
- § 2:27 —Responding to opponent’s survey
- § 2:28 —Cases analyzing survey evidence
- § 2:29 —Additional reading
- § 2:30 Monetary relief
- § 2:31 —Additional reading

III. WHEN TO USE AN EXPERT IN TRADEMARK CASES

- § 2:32 Overview
- § 2:33 Preliminary injunction
- § 2:34 Summary judgment

IV. HIRING THE TRADEMARK EXPERT

- § 2:35 Expert’s qualifications
- § 2:36 Locating the trademark expert
- § 2:37 Retaining the trademark expert
- § 2:38 Expert’s compensation

V. SAMPLE DOCUMENTS

- § 2:39 Introduction

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 2:40 Sample survey expert report
- § 2:41 Sample rebuttal survey expert report
- § 2:42 Sample damages expert report
- § 2:43 Sample direct examination of trademark/survey expert—Qualification of expert
- § 2:44 Sample cross examination of trademark/survey expert

CHAPTER 3. PATENT EXPERTS

I. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

- § 3:1 Practice tip—Top five patent expert witness pitfalls
- § 3:2 Glossary of terms

II. WHY USE AN EXPERT IN PATENT CASES

- § 3:3 Overview
- § 3:4 Anatomy of a typical patent litigation case—Claims, defenses, and counterclaims
- § 3:5 —Claim construction
- § 3:6 —Obviousness and *KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc.*
- § 3:7 Invalidation of patent based on violation of law or rules
- § 3:8 Due diligence by patentee
- § 3:9 —Rule 11
- § 3:10 Due diligence by defendant
- § 3:11 Design patents
- § 3:12 Distinction between testifying expert and consulting expert
- § 3:13 Future of patent law

III. WHEN TO USE AN EXPERT IN PATENT CASES

- § 3:14 Overview
- § 3:15 Discovery
- § 3:16 *Markman* hearing
- § 3:17 During trial
- § 3:18 Other proceedings
- § 3:19 Patent and Trademark Office proceedings
- § 3:20 International Trade Court cases
- § 3:21 State court actions

IV. IDENTIFYING THE PATENT EXPERT; QUALIFICATIONS; CHALLENGES

- § 3:22 Technical expert
- § 3:23 —Sample cases
- § 3:24 Locating the technical expert
- § 3:25 Qualifications of the technical expert
- § 3:26 Common challenges to the technical expert

- § 3:27 Legal expert
- § 3:28 Locating the legal expert
- § 3:29 Common challenges to the legal expert
- § 3:30 Damages expert
- § 3:31 Determination of reasonable royalty
- § 3:32 Recovery of lost profits
- § 3:33 Common challenges to damages experts
- § 3:34 Locating the damages expert
- § 3:35 Other experts

V. HIRING THE EXPERT

- § 3:36 Timing considerations
- § 3:37 Due diligence
- § 3:38 Conflicts
- § 3:39 Interviewing potential expert candidates
- § 3:40 Costs
- § 3:41 Compensation
- § 3:42 Drafting the engagement letter

VI. EXPERT REPORTS

- § 3:43 Contents of the report
- § 3:44 Drafts

VII. SAMPLE DOCUMENTS

- § 3:45 Sample expert witness engagement letter
- § 3:46 Sample technical expert report
- § 3:47 Sample legal expert report
- § 3:48 Sample deposition of legal expert
- § 3:49 Sample direct of patent damages expert—Qualification of expert
- § 3:50 Sample cross examination of patent damages expert

CHAPTER 4. TRADE SECRET EXPERTS

I. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

- § 4:1 Practice tip—Top five trade secret expert witness pitfalls
- § 4:2 Glossary of terms

II. WHY USE AN EXPERT IN TRADE SECRET CASES

- § 4:3 Overview
- § 4:4 Economic value in keeping a trade secret
- § 4:5 Reasonableness of efforts to maintain secrecy

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 4:6 Misappropriation

III. WHEN TO USE AN EXPERT IN TRADE SECRET CASES

§ 4:7 Overview
§ 4:8 Before trial
§ 4:9 Settlement negotiations
§ 4:10 Arbitration proceedings
§ 4:11 During trial
§ 4:12 Proving damages

IV. IDENTIFYING THE TRADE SECRET EXPERT; QUALIFICATIONS; CHALLENGES

§ 4:13 Curriculum vitae
§ 4:14 Qualifying at trial
§ 4:15 Common challenges

V. HIRING THE EXPERT

§ 4:16 Timing considerations
§ 4:17 Drafting the engagement letter
§ 4:18 Compensation

VI. EXPERT REPORTS

§ 4:19 Issues to consider

VII. SAMPLE DOCUMENTS

§ 4:20 Sample expert witness engagement letter
§ 4:21 Sample direct examination of expert—Qualification of expert
§ 4:22 Sample expert report
§ 4:23 Sample damages expert report
§ 4:24 Sample deposition of expert
§ 4:25 Sample direct examination of expert at trial
§ 4:26 Sample cross examination of expert at trial

CHAPTER 5. COPYRIGHT EXPERTS

I. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

§ 5:1 Practice tip—Top five copyright expert witness pitfalls
§ 5:2 Pitfall number 1: calling an expert on copyright law
§ 5:3 Pitfall number 2: engaging an expert on substantial similarity when there is no complex subject matter
§ 5:4 Pitfall number 3: failing to call an expert on substantial similarity when there *is* complex subject matter

- § 5:5 Pitfall number 4: engaging an expert with the wrong expertise, or who uses unreliable principles or methodology
- § 5:6 Pitfall number 5: failing to call an expert when you need one
- § 5:7 Glossary of terms

II. WHY USE AN EXPERT IN COPYRIGHT CASES

- § 5:8 Overview
- § 5:9 Infringement
- § 5:10 Fair use
- § 5:11 Damages

III. WHEN TO USE AN EXPERT IN COPYRIGHT CASES

- § 5:12 Overview
- § 5:13 Before trial
- § 5:14 Settlement negotiations
- § 5:15 During trial
- § 5:16 —Introduction
- § 5:17 —Preview
- § 5:18 —Qualification
- § 5:19 —Proffer
- § 5:20 —Foundation
- § 5:21 —Opinion
- § 5:22 —Mitigating cross-examination

IV. IDENTIFYING THE COPYRIGHT EXPERT; QUALIFICATIONS; CHALLENGES

- § 5:23 Curriculum vitae
- § 5:24 Locating the expert
- § 5:25 Qualifying at trial
- § 5:26 Common challenges

V. HIRING THE EXPERT

- § 5:27 Timing considerations
- § 5:28 Drafting the engagement letter
- § 5:29 —Particular issues to consider
- § 5:30 Compensation

VI. EXPERT REPORTS

- § 5:31 Issues to consider

VII. SAMPLE DOCUMENTS

- § 5:32 Sample engagement letter in copyright infringement actions

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 5:33 Sample qualification of expert trial transcript
- § 5:34 Sample liability-related expert reports
- § 5:35 Sample damages expert report
- § 5:36 Sample deposition of expert
- § 5:37 Sample direct examination of literary expert at trial
- § 5:38 Sample cross examination of literary expert at trial